

EXAMINATION HISTORY 02 101111 SGN 00 SP

BUILDING CODE REVIEW NOTES

1 IBMS TORONTO

DATE	NOTES
17 Jan 02	Sent notice. No review performed per the notice
29 Jan 02	Received letter from the engineer. My response is drawings to scale or dimension all items.
30 Jan 02	<p>Applicant came in and I explained my position to him. I also advised I require a letter of confirmation from the engineer that the existing structure is adequate for the additional loads and a field review commitment</p> <p>Both examiners notice returned, addresses are wrong. Lynn M advised she confirmed that the addresses are as per IBMS</p>
2 Feb 02	2 sets of partial new drawings received.
4 Feb 02	Initial scan of drawings shows scales are still missing and instead of replotting the drawings, engineer has marked non standard scale of 1/6" = 1' and added some dimensions
5 Feb 02	<p>Reviewed the drawings. Design is generally in conformance with applicable standards. I have some questions for the engineer.</p> <p>Left message for the engineer to return call. See file for list of questions.</p> <p>Spoke to engineer, he wanted to submit calculations for uplift and he wants a field review form</p>
5 Feb 02	<p>Received fax / letter from the engineer. Letter confirms adequacy of the existing building.</p> <p>Also has field review and reactions only received</p> <p>Sent fax saying I wanted to know the loads.</p>
6 Feb 02	Received fax / letter with loads and reactions
8 Feb 02	<p>Spoke to engineer regarding uplift connection, more detail is required. In the conversation he advised me that his letter of Feb 5 is only an opinion that the building is okay and he does not verify adequacy.</p> <p>This is not acceptable. I spoke to G Lee who agreed with my position.</p> <p>Prepared letter for the Engineer and applicant. Letter to be faxed when ready. Letter faxed to the engineer and applicant</p>
Mar 20, 02	Received letter / fax from the engineer, in this letter he has verified that calculations have been done to verify the structural adequacy of the building. Reinforcing will be done on the columns.
Mar 21, 02	Sent engineer and applicant a fax stating I would accept the engineers position the building is adequate. Details are still required for uplift and parapet stability.
April 2, 02	New drawings received
April 8, 02	Reviewed the submitted drawings. Extensive changes have been made from the earlier

Burd

41

EXAMINATION HISTORY 02 101111 SGN 00 SP

BUILDING CODE REVIEW NOTES

1 IBMS TORONTO

DATE	NOTES
	submissions. In general the submissions appears to conform to the minimum requirements. I am of the opinion that some bracing is required for additional stability. Contacted the engineer and it was agreed to add this information and release the permit with additional bracing shown.
April 8, 2002	Permit cleared. R McKeown
	